


The Ecology of Peace: Formative Childhoods and Peace Building

A Brief Note



November 2012

The Ecology of Peace




The Ecology of Peace is a conceptual framework for exploring the multiple relationships between early childhood development and peace building. Each of these constructs is expressed at the individual, family and community level. The framework provides a theoretical and empirical foundation for understanding the bi-directional and mediated relationship that exist among the multiple levels of the model.

What is Early Childhood Development?

- A multifaceted concept that encompasses child and context
- Child
 - Age: prenatal period through the transition to school (age 8 - 9)^{1,2}
 - Domains of development: physical health and motor development; cognitive skills; social and emotional functioning; and competencies in language and literacy, ethical and spiritual development, sense of group membership, and identity within families, communities, cultures, and nation states.³
- Context (family and community)
 - Context is a determinant of the achievement of developmental potential
 - Young children make rapid strides in all areas of development through interaction with their environment^{4,5,6,7}

What is Peace Building?




Peace building is the process of resolving conflict and establishing sustainable peace in a manner that maximizes justice, equality and harmony. Peace building extends beyond prevention of violence.⁸

What is Peace Building?

- There are two levels of violence
 - Direct violence refers to the interpersonal conflict that harms individuals
 - Structural violence is expressed through political and economic processes and oppression within a society
- There are two levels of efforts to achieve peace
 - Peacemaking is the process of reducing direct violence through conflict resolution and other non-violent means; it is a reaction in response to a threat or the anticipation of violence
 - Peace building has a proactive focus with an emphasis on the development of an effective infrastructure to reduce structural violence and sustain social justice, healthcare and economic development; it is multidimensional with legal, cultural, political, medical and socio-economic elements⁹

Component 1: The Neurobiology of Peace




The focus of Component 1 is early neuronal development as a predictor of peace building.

Component 1:

The Neurobiology of Peace

- A primary point of interest in neuroscience research is how early life experiences can set the stage for future interactions
- The neuropeptide oxytocin (OT) is involved in processes of bonding and interacts with multiple neurophysiological systems in the brain and body
- Recent OT research points to the OT system's extensive involvement in behaviors that pertain to peace, including
 - Trust¹⁰ and cooperation¹¹ among in-group members
 - The ability to read others' mental states and empathize with others' feelings and experiences^{12,13,14}

Component 2: Affiliative Bonding




The focus of Component 2 is early bonding as a precursor to behaviors associated with peacemaking.

Component 2:

Affiliative Bonding

- Studies assessing the involvement of the OT system in human bonding examine the expression of micro-level social behavior in each partner during dyadic or triadic interactions¹⁵
- These micro-level social behaviors are measured through multiple dimensions
 - Gaze; proximity; arousal; touch; affect; exploratory behavior; vocalization
- These expressions have been assessed in various contexts
 - Face-to-face interactions; exploratory play; interactions between children or adolescents and their best friends or a peer group; exchanges between romantic partners

Component 3: Parenting and Peacemaking




The focus of Component 3 is to understand the impact of parenting programs on parent and child peacemaking behaviors.

Component 3:

Parenting and Peacemaking

- The foundation for development in the early years is the way that caregivers meet a child's physical, cognitive, and social-emotional needs
- Parents and other primary caregivers determine the environment in which children grow, develop and learn
 - Indicators of violence in the home show that nearly two-thirds of children ages 4 and under experience mild physical and psychological aggression by parents¹⁶
- Parenting programs are activities, services or interventions for parents aimed at improving parenting

Component 4: Early Learning and Peacemaking




The focus of Component 4 is the association between early learning programs and peacemaking.

Component 4:

Early Learning and Peacemaking

- Six dimensions of quality early learning programs that form the theoretical foundation for examining early learning programs as a strategic entry point for peacemaking
 - Holistic child outcomes; responsive interpersonal relationships between key caregivers or teacher and children; training and capacity of service providers; curriculum; school and home relationship; presence of provisions for children in higher risk situations¹⁷
- Application of these dimensions of quality early learning programs to peacemaking initiatives has yet to be tested but theoretical frameworks have been proposed¹⁸

Component 5: From Peacemaking to Peace Building



The focus of Component 5 is the association between individual-level outcomes and community- and societal-level functioning.

Component 5:

From Peacemaking to Peace Building

- Most parenting and early learning programs operate at the level of the individual or the family (the peacemaking level)
- A distinctive feature of the Ecology of Peace framework is the exploration of how families can influence communities and how peacemaking can be translated into peace building
- Two hypotheses explain this relationship
 - Human capital: as early childhood is known to be the optimal phase of development for human capital formation, investments in early childhood programs provide the greatest return on investment¹⁹
 - Permeation of outcomes: family-based intervention programs can promote outcomes that permeate not just individual homes but entire communities⁸

Conclusions

- Young children and their families are progressively being exposed to greater violence in homes, schools and communities, and among nations
- Evidence from the field of early childhood development clearly demonstrates that lasting and intergenerational change can occur through interventions early in life
- The Ecology of Peace conceptual framework provides a set of hypotheses to explore the viability of promoting peace through early childhood
- These hypotheses are currently being tested

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the support of Ayşen Özyeğin and ideas presented in the discussion paper *Building A Generation of Reconciliation: The Role of Early Childhood Development in Peace Building*⁸ as the motivation for this conceptual framework.

We also thank Jacqueline Hayden, Ph.D. for her very helpful comments, and Adrian Cerezo, Ph.D., and Ilanit Gordon, Ph.D., for their editorial assistance.

References

- [1] McCartney, K., & Phillips, D. A. (Eds.). (2006). *Handbook of early childhood development*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing.
- [2] United Nations General Assembly. (1989). Convention on the Rights of the Child. *United Nations Treaty Series*, 1577(3).
- [3] Britto, P. R., & Kagan, S. L. (2010). Global status of early learning and development standards. In P. Peterson, E. Baker, & B. McGaw (Eds.), *International encyclopedia of education*, vol. 2 (pp. 138–143). Oxford, UK: Elsevier.
- [4] Irwin, L. G., Siddiqui, A., & Hertzman, C. (2007). Early child development: A powerful equalizer. British Columbia, Canada: *HELP Research Institute, College of Interdisciplinary Studies, University of British Columbia*.
- [5] Richter, L. (2004). *The importance of caregiver-child interactions for the survival and healthy development of young children: A review*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- [6] Richter, L., Dawes, A., & de Kadt, J. (2010). Early childhood. In I. Petersen, A. Bhana, A. J., Flisher, L. Swartz, & L. Richter (Eds.), *Promoting mental health in scarce-resource contexts* (pp. 99–123). Cape Town, South Africa: Human Science Research Council.
- [7] Shonkoff, J. P., & Phillips, D. A. (Eds.). (2000). *From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- [8] AÇEV. (2009). *Building a generation of reconciliation: The role of early childhood development in peace building*. Istanbul, Turkey: AÇEV.
- [9] Galtung, J. (1969). Violence, peace, and peace research. *Journal of Peace Research*, 6(3), 167–191.
- [10] Van Ijzendoorn, M. H., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (2012). A sniff of trust: Meta-analysis of the effects of intranasal oxytocin administration on face recognition, trust to in-group, and trust to out-group. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 37(3), 438–443.
- [11] De Dreu, C. K., Greer, L. L., Van Kleef, G. A., Shalvi, S., Handgraaf, M. J. (2011). Oxytocin promotes human ethnocentrism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 108(4), 1262–1266.
- [12] Bartz, J. A., Zaki, J., Bolger, N., Hollander, E., Ludwig, N. N., Kolevzon, A., Ochsner, K. N. (2010). Oxytocin selectively improves empathic accuracy. *Psychological Science*, 21(10), 1426–1428.
- [13] Domes, G., Heinrichs, M., Michel, A., Berger, C., & Herpertz, S.C. (2007). Oxytocin improves “mind-reading” in humans. *Biological Psychiatry*, 61, 731–733.
- [14] Guastella, A. J., Einfeld, S. L., Gray, K. M., Rinehart, N. J., Tonge, B. J., Lambert, T. J., et al. (2009). Intranasal oxytocin improves emotion recognition for youth with autism spectrum disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*, 67, 692–694.
- [15] Gordon, I., Martin, C., Feldman, R., & Leckman, J. F. (2011). Oxytocin and social motivation. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 1(4), 471–493.
- [16] Lansford, J. E., & Deater-Deckard, K. (2012). Childrearing discipline and violence in developing countries. *Child Development*, in press.
- [17] Bowman, B. T., Donovan, M. S., & Burns, M. S. (Eds.). (2001). *Eager to learn: Educating our preschoolers*. Washington, DC: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.
- [18] Una Peacebuilding Learning Group. (2010). *A programmatic framework for early childhood organisations developing programmes in conflict affected regions*, Una Working Paper 9, Belfast: Una <<http://www.unaglobal.org>>.
- [19] Heckman, J. J., & Krueger, A. B. (Eds.). (2003). *Inequality in America: What role for human capital policies?* Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Cover photo: http://www.123rf.com/photo_4565154_child-and-adult-hands-holding-new-plant-with-soil.html / 123RF Stock Photo

Copyright

The Ecology of Peace: Formative Childhoods and Peace Building A Brief Note

© 2012 Yale University & AÇEV Partnership

This publication is copyright, but it may be reproduced by any method without fee for teaching, research or non-profit purposes.

For further information

Pia Rebello Britto, Ph.D.
pia.britto@yale.edu

Members of the team

Yale University: Pia Rebello Britto, Ph.D., James Leckman, M.D., Catherine Panter-Brick, Ph.D., William Hodges, M.A., Rima Salah, Ph.D., Kyle Pruett, M.D., Maria Regina Reyes, Ph.D., and Anna L. Zonderman, M.P.H.

AÇEV: Cigdem Kağıtçıbaşı, Ph.D., Diane Sunar, Ph.D., Yanki Yazgan, M.D., Ayla Goksel, M.Sc., and Yasemin Sirali, M.B.A.

For citations

Yale University & AÇEV Partnership. (2012). *The Ecology of Peace: Formative Childhoods and Peace Building. A Brief Note*. New Haven, CT and Istanbul, Turkey: Yale-AÇEV Partnership.